

# Japanese electricity market outlook

Aurora Energy Research

04 September 2024



© Aurora Energy Research Ltd.





### I. Electricity market supply & demand - Japan

### II. Deep dives:

- 1. Opportunities for hydrogen in Japan
- 2. Network congestion & the challenges for renewables deployment

### Japan's power market is split into 10 regional price zones, with two non-synchronous grids separating East from West



AUR 😞 RA

- Japan has been divided up into two non-synchronous areas since country started electrifying in the 1890s. From Tokyo in the East (50 Hz) and Osaka in the West (60 Hz)
- Japan is divided up into ten vertically integrated regional utilities
- After legal unbundling<sup>1</sup> of transmission & distribution from regional utilities' generation and retail came into force in 2020, General Transmission & Distribution Businesses (GTDBs) have inherited TSOlike functions in the original 9 mainland<sup>2</sup> zones

1) This is distinct from ownership unbundling, which Japanese has not implemented yet. The Tokyo and Chubu utilities chose a holding company structure for their various previous functions, whereas all others opted for a parent-subsidiary relationship. 2) The Okinawa utility continues to exist in a fully vertically integrated state. Sources: OCCTO

### Japan is still dominated by thermal power, providing 50% of total capacity, while feed-in tariffs raised solar to 25% of nameplate capacity





AUR 😞 RA

1) METI data and Aurora estimates. 2) Estimated capacity by region for 2023.

Sources: METI/OCCTO, Aurora Energy Research

Hokkaido

### There are a number of major energy policies and regulatory changes ongoing in Japan which are shaping the investment landscape

AUR 😞 RA

|  |  |  |  |   |  |                 | 2050 Emission/Energy Targets:   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|-----------------|---|--|--|
| In accordance with the<br>Electricity Business Act,<br>OCCTO became in 2017<br>responsible for developing<br>the <b>Grid Master Plan</b> , and<br>published its first version.   | 1 <sup>st</sup> <b>T-4 capacity</b><br><b>market auction</b><br>is held in 2020<br>w/ delivery in<br>2024  | Feed-in-Premium (FiP)<br>auctions, a successor<br>to the Feed-in-Tariff<br>(FiT) program in place<br>since 2012, launched in<br>April 2022.  | In March 2023, OCCTO<br>updated its <b>grid</b><br><b>expansion plan</b> out to<br>2050. |   | 3 <sup>rd</sup> phase of the <b>ETS</b> , requiring<br>progressively increasing role for<br>auctions for the power sector, is<br>planned to begin in 2033. |                 | <ul> <li>Economy-wide emissions to<br/>reach net-zero by 2050</li> <li>Renewables to make up 50-<br/>60% of the power mix</li> <li>Supply of hydrogen and<br/>ammonia to reach 20 Mt of<br/>hydrogen equivalent</li> </ul>                                    |  |  |
|  | 2021.  |  |  |   |  |                 |   |  |  |
| Quarterly auctions providing<br><b>Non-Fossil Certificates (NFC)</b><br>issued to eligible generators to<br>retailers started in 2018.   |  | 1 <sup>st</sup> phase of the <b>Emission Trading</b><br><b>System</b> ("ETS") is launched in 2023.<br>Trading of carbon credits rather than<br>allowances; voluntary participation<br>by emitters. |  | <b>Carbon levy</b> applied to upstream<br>emissions embedded into imported<br>fossil fuels will be introduced in 2028. It<br>is likely to replace the current Tax for<br>Climate Change Mitigation <sup>3</sup> . |  |                 | Government aims the<br>supply of <b>hydrogen</b> to<br>reach12 Mt by 2040   |  |  |
| 2017 2018 2020   |  | 2023 2024  | 2026   | 20  | 20   | 030             | 2033 2040 2050  |  |  |
| The 6 <sup>th</sup> Strategic Energy Plan  | New balancing  | g<br>Auction for the long-to   | erm  | 2 <sup>nd</sup> phase of th   | ne <b>ETS</b> . featuring  |                 |   |  |  |
| (SEP) is released in October<br>2021. 1 <sup>st</sup> plan released in 200<br>and updated roughly every 3<br>years; SEP is the national<br>energy policy outlining<br>objectives and policy<br>measures to achieve them. | introduced in<br>stages, w/<br>Tertiary-2 in<br>2021, Tertiary-<br>1 in 2022 and<br>Primary/Secon<br>dary 1-2 rolled<br>introduced in<br>support of decarbonic<br>generators ("20-year<br>Mechanism") will be h<br>2024 onwards and ini<br>total procurement tar<br>10GW <sup>2</sup> . The mechanis<br>for retrofitting of ther |  | ed<br>Support<br>eld from<br>ially for a<br>get of<br>m is aimed<br>mal plants,          | mandatory pa<br>further large e<br>allocation of c<br>to all participa<br>to start in 202   | handatory participation for<br>arther large emitters and free<br>llocation of carbon allowances<br>o all participants, is expected<br>o start in 2026.     |                 | <ul> <li>2030 Emission/Energy Targets:</li> <li>GHG emissions to be reduced by 46-50% relative to 2013 levels</li> <li>Renewables to make up 36-38% of the power mix</li> <li>Supply to reach 3 Mt of hydrogen equivalent for hydrogen and ammonia</li> </ul> |  |  |
|  | dary 1-2 rolled  | battery storage and re   | newables.  |   |  | ilyul Ugell all | annona  |  |  |

1) The balancing market is being reformed to replace the existing 3 services with 5 new services which allow faster response to frequency deviations etc. and address within-day system imbalance. 2) Procurement target of 4GW/year for retrofitting and newbuild of thermal plants, battery storage and renewables and a total of 6GW for the first 3 years for emergency capacity to be provided by LNG thermal plants. 3) Currently levied at a rate of 289 yen/tCO2, unchanged since 2016. Sources: OCCTO, METI, Aurora Energy Research.

### Japan's electricity mix is expected to become increasingly dominated by renewable and flexible technologies as existing thermal fleet retires/refurb

#### Nation-wide capacity<sup>1</sup> – Aurora Central Scenario

Nameplate GW



<sup>1)</sup> Does not include self-generation except rooftops solar and does not include capacity in Okinawa.

- From 2023 onward, a new multiyear capacity contract will seek to bring on 4-6 GW of low-carbon capacity each year, explicitly for existing thermal plant replacement
- Growth in renewables continues in the 2030s and 40s as current grid constraints are relieved with transmission augmentation
- Coal capacity retirements accelerate from the late 2020s as costs increase with end-of-life issues and greater ramping is required
- The build-out of grid-scale batteries accelerates in the 2030s as costs continue to fall and midmerit coal exits, leaving spreads set more often between renewable and gas/peaking plants
- New innovative technologies such as gas with CCS, coal co-firing with ammonia, and hydrogen CCGT are gradually replacing existing coal and gas fleets delivered from the 2030s through long-term decarbonization contracts

### Agenda



I. Japan's electricity market supply & demand outlook

### II. Deep dives:

- 1. Opportunities for hydrogen in Japan
- 2. Network congestion & the challenges for renewables deployment

### Japan has been a global leader in $H_2$ policy since 2017 and is now implementing specific mechanisms to achieve its policy goals





1) The cost is based on plant delivery costs and includes transport costs.; The official cost target is ¥30/Nm<sup>3</sup>, and ¥334/kg-H<sub>2</sub> is calculated using a conversion of 0.08988kg-H<sub>2</sub>/Nm<sub>3</sub>; 2) The Exchange rate: ¥121.7/US\$; 3) METI - Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry: 4) LTDA - Long-term Decarbonisation Auction.: 5) 68 MW hydrogen co-firing (replacing) were offered but not awarded. Sources: Aurora Energy Research, METI, various news outlets

### Blue $H_2$ (with carbon capture) may offer a cost-effective alternative in the short term, but its carbon intensity might not align with Japan's standards

Production process for grey and blue  $\rm H_2$ 



- Grey H<sub>2</sub> accounts for the majority of the current H<sub>2</sub> consumption
- Blue H<sub>2</sub> uses the same SMR process but captures and stores the CO<sub>2</sub> to reduce emissions
- However, CCS requires the presence of appropriate geological formations capable of securely storing captured CO<sub>2</sub>
- CCS has also received criticism that the carbon capture equipment was ~55% efficient<sup>2</sup>, compared to a designed capture efficiency of 90%. Even if the efficiency is as designed, its upstream emissions and operational cost make it less efficient than renewables



1) Carbon capture and storage; 2) As reported by Jacobson 2019; 3) Carbon intensity includes lifecycle emissions upstream and midstream emissions and emission intensity varies across natural gas sites due to differences in technology, practices, and regulations; 4) Blue H<sub>2</sub> assumes median upstream emissions with 93% CCS efficiency, while green H<sub>2</sub> assumes on-site renewable generation; 5) Carbon intensity excludes emissions from transportation post-production. Source: Aurora Energy Research, Jacobson 2019, IEA, Institute for Energy Economics and Financial Analysis

AUR 😞 RA

### APAC sees a significant number of large-scale advanced hydrogen projects, with Japanese companies' overseas projects mostly located in Australia



Examples of advanced hydrogen projects in APAC



Examples of projects with Japanese companies involved

|                   |    | Country | Project<br>name <sup>1</sup> | Key partners  | Product                                    | Amount<br>tpa(H <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>3</sup> | End use                | Status       |
|-------------------|----|---------|------------------------------|---|--|---|------------------------|--------------|
|                   | 1  |         | FH2R <sup>2</sup>            | Toshiba, Tohoku Electric, Iwatani,<br>NEDO                    | Green $H_2$                                | 200   | Transport,<br>Power    | Operational  |
|                   | 2  | *       | CQ-H2                        | Iwatani, Marubeni, KEPCO                                      | Green $H_2$                                | 36,500                                      | Industry,<br>Power     | Construction |
|                   | 3  | * *     | HyNQ                         | Idemitsu, Energy Estate<br>CS Energy                          | $\operatorname{Green} \operatorname{NH}_3$ | 80,000                                      | Transport              | Construction |
|                   | 4  | * *     | Yuri                         | Mitsui Co., Engie   | Green $H_2/NH_3$                           | 640   | Ammonia                | Construction |
| Green<br>hydrogen | 5  |         | Townsville                   | Kawasaki Heavy Industry,<br>Origin Energy, Port of Townsville | Green $H_2$                                | 36,500                                      | Industry,<br>Transport | Construction |
|                   | 6  | * *     | H2TAS                        | Marubeni, IHI,<br>Woodside                                    | $\operatorname{Green}\operatorname{NH}_3$  | 30,000                                      | Industry               | Construction |
|                   | 7  |         | Desert Bloom<br>Hydrogen     | Osaka Gas, Aqua Aerem   | Green $H_2$                                | 400   | Industry,<br>Transport | Construction |
|                   | 8  | (*      | Sawaraku                     | ENEOS, Sumitomo Co.   | Green $H_2$                                | 90,000                                      | Industry               | Planning     |
|                   | 9  |         | Odisha                       | Kyushu Electric, Sojitz, Sembcorp                             | $\operatorname{Green}\operatorname{NH}_3$  | 30,000                                      | Industry               | Planning     |
| Blue              | 10 |         | Niigata                      | INPEX, JGC  | Blue H <sub>2</sub>                        | 700   | Power,<br>Ammonia      | Construction |
| hydrogen          | 11 | * *     | HESC                         | Kawasaki Heavy Industry,<br>J-Power, Iwatani, Marubeni        | Blue H <sub>2</sub>                        | 250   | Aluminium              | Construction |

Other large H<sub>2</sub> project

1) If there is no specific project name yet, we list site name instead. 2) Fukushima Hydrogen Energy Research Field. 3) Convert to hydrogen basis unit when ammonia is produced

### Only ~17% of electrolyser projects in APAC have indicated potential offtakers and a few advanced projects are primarily focused on self-consumption



| APAC electrolyser projects with potential offtakers <sup>1</sup> | Key H <sub>2</sub> offtake agreements in APAC |   |                               |                                    |                       |                            |   |
|--|---|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|
| # of projects<br>120   | Country                                       | Buyer   | Seller                        | Quantity<br>(ktpa-H <sub>2</sub> ) | Offtake<br>start date | End use                    | Notes   |
| 17%  |   | Ishikawajima-Harima<br>Heavy Industries (IHI) <sup>2</sup>                | ACME                          | ~71 <sup>3</sup>                   | 2028                  | Power,<br>Industry         | <ul> <li>Ammonia will be used as the carrier; IHI will then<br/>distribute the ammonia locally in Japan.</li> </ul>               |
| 100  |   | JERA  | Exxon Mobil                   | 80                                 | 2028                  | Power generation           | <ul> <li>Ammonia will be transported into Japan and used in coal fired power plant.</li> </ul>                                    |
|  |   | Haneda Airport  | ENEOS                         | 10                                 | 2030                  | Power generation           | <ul> <li>ENEOS plans to procure H<sub>2</sub> from Malaysia and<br/>Australia.</li> </ul>   |
| 80   |   | Cosmo Oil   | ADNOC                         | ~0.002                             | 2022                  | Industry                   | <ul> <li>Cosmo Oil will transport Blue ammonia into<br/>Japan and use for their business.</li> </ul>                              |
| 60   | *   | Yara Pilbara<br>Fertilisers <sup>2</sup>                                  | Engie, Mitsui                 | 0.64                               | 2024                  | Fertilisers                | <ul> <li>H<sub>2</sub> from Project Yuri will provide feedstock into<br/>the Yara operations in Western Australia.</li> </ul>     |
|  |   | Orica <sup>2</sup>  | Origin                        | ~4.4 <sup>3</sup>                  | 2026                  | Ammonia                    | <ul> <li>H<sub>2</sub> from the Hunter Valley hub will be consumed<br/>at Orica's Kooragang Island plants.</li> </ul>             |
| 40   | Self-sup                                      | pplying $H_2$ offtake – the same entity producing and consuming the $H_2$ |                               |                                    |                       | H <sub>2</sub>             |   |
|  | Country                                       | Producer/User   | Usage facility                | Quantity<br>(ktpa-H <sub>2</sub> ) | Offtake<br>start date | End use                    | Notes   |
| 20   | ¥.  | J-Power   | Hydrom                        | 166.67                             | 2030                  | Ammonia                    | <ul> <li>Produce Green H<sub>2</sub> using Solar, Wind and Battery<br/>and supply to ammonia manufacturing plant.</li> </ul>      |
|  | *]  | Sinopec   | Yanshan<br>Petrochemical      | 100                                | 2027                  | Oil refinery               | <ul> <li>H<sub>2</sub> from project Ulanqab (Inner Mongolia) is to be<br/>transported to Beijing via a 400km pipeline.</li> </ul> |
| 0  | *2  | Sinopec   | Tahe Refining<br>and Chemical | ~4-10                              | 2023                  | Oil refinery,<br>Chemicals | <ul> <li>The Kuqa plant (260MW) is only operating at<br/>~20-50% of its capacity due to safety concerns.</li> </ul>               |
| Have a potential offtaker  | ۲   | HPCL <sup>3</sup>   | Visakhapatnam<br>Refinery     | 0.37                               | 2024                  | Oil refinery               | <ul> <li>The project has missed two announced operation<br/>dates (June 2023 &amp; September 2023).</li> </ul>                    |

1) Excluding early-stage projects and projects with commissioning dates after 2030; Some of these agreements are MoUs which are not legally binding contracts; 2) Buyer is also the partner of the electrolyser project; 3) Estimated hydrogen offtake quantity from announcements; 3) HPCL – Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd.

Source: Aurora Energy Research, various news sources

### Australia is one of the most cost-competitive exporters of Green $H_2$ to Japan, although the USA and Middle East are very competitive for Blue $H_2$

 $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}$ 1.400 1.200 1.006 1,003 986 973 1.000 52 940 943 52 52 915 19 52 9 882 52 20 85 - 32 52 820 101 36 800 52 82 709 52 -25 590 600 79 52 517 495 25 52 52 79 77 36 848 811 400 794 777 713 101 553 434 200 326 288  $\cap$ UAE USA Australia Australia Chile UAE Philippines India Malaysia Thailand USA <sup>1</sup> Japan<sup>3</sup> Japan<sup>3</sup> (blue H2) (blue H2) (blue H2) (yellow H2) Ammonia cracking Conditioning (Ammonia production) Blue H<sub>2</sub> production Green H<sub>2</sub> production<sup>4</sup> Yellow (grid-connected) H<sub>2</sub> production Transport

AUR 😞 RA

- The levelised cost of green H<sub>2</sub> from Australia to Japan totals ¥709/kg-H<sub>2</sub> in 2030, which is 39% cheaper than the cost to producing H<sub>2</sub> locally in Japan.
- It is more cost effective to import H<sub>2</sub> as ammonia from most exporting countries than producing it domestically in Japan.
- Blue H<sub>2</sub> from the UAE, US and Australia with carbon capture could offer a cost-effective alternative in the short term in Japan, but its carbon intensity must meet Japan's government standards. Blue H<sub>2</sub> production is also subject to presence of appropriate geological formations capable of securely storing captured CO<sub>2</sub>.
- Transport accounts for only 2-10% of the total delivery cost, depending on the exporting country's proximity to Japan. Geographical distance between exporting and importing countries is therefore less important in identifying suitable trade partners.

1) Region in the country with the best solar and wind resources was considered, unless stated otherwise; 2) The levelised cost of ammonia excludes domestic transport costs; 3) Hokkaido production cost in Japan; 4) Using the co-located islanded electrolyser business model optimised for the ratio of solar and on-shore wind capacity. Sources: Aurora Energy Research

Levelised cost of  $H_2$  (via ammonia imports) to Kobe, Japan in 2030<sup>1,2</sup> – Aurora Central Scenario

### Agenda



I. Japan's electricity market supply & demand outlook

#### II. Deep dives:

- 1. Opportunities for hydrogen in Japan
- 2. Network congestion & the challenges for renewables deployment

Renewable targets are exceeding the currently available and planned network capacity – this is creating challenges with renewables being curtailed...



- Remaining spare network capacity is calculated based on spare capacity of substations published by each transmission operators
- The announced interconnection upgrades are transferred into spare network capacity for all regions based on the expected flow direction and load factors of expected renewable resources
- Further regional enhancement and thermal plant retirements are expected to create additional spare capacity for renewable buildouts across Japan

Future spare network capacity due to transmission upgrades<sup>1</sup>

Remaining spare network capacity 🦰 OCCTO 2050 Master Plan total renewable target

Existing renewable capacity

1) The unlocked renewable capacity from transmission upgrades is calculated based on interconnection size and relative renewable load factor in the region based on the expected flow direction. For example, 1 GW increase in transmission capacity from region A to region B is assumed to increase spare network capacity in A by 1/0.3 = 3.3GW based on average renewable load factor of 0.3. Sources: OCCTO, Aurora Energy Research

### What is curtailment? Economic curtailment is driven by region-wide oversupply, $A \cup R \cong R A$ while grid curtailment is caused by individual transmission line limits



### Aurora approach | Aurora's network model utilizes detailed market and transmission data combined with OCCTO/METI curtailment logic

Kyushu network – major topology



#### Key inputs for power flow simulation:

### Renewable investment cases in Japan are increasingly undertaking mapping of individual line utilizations to identify congested areas

A U R ಿ R A



#### **History (published by Kyushu EPCO T&D<sup>1</sup>)** Utilization %, 75<sup>th</sup> percentile



#### 2030

#### **Forecast – Aurora Central Scenario** Utilization %, 75<sup>th</sup> percentile



Short-term line congestion is concentrated in the North & West of the region. This dynamic shifts over time as thermal plants retire and generation is further sourced from renewables located further from metropolitan load centers



1) Historic data is not published for certain backbone lines however are modelled by Aurora; 2) Line utilization is defined as the percentage loading of a particular transmission asset, relative to its capacity

## AUR 😞 RA

#### ENERGY RESEARCH